

Low Energy Supersymmetry in Warped Brane Worlds

Zygmunt Lalak

*Theory Division, CERN
CH-1211 Geneva 23*

*Institute of Theoretical Physics
University of Warsaw, Poland*

Abstract

We discuss physical implications of the four-dimensional effective supergravity, that describes low-energy physics of the Randall–Sundrum model with moduli fields in the bulk and charged chiral matter living on the branes. Cosmological constant can be cancelled through the introduction of a brane Polonyi field and a brane superpotential for the 4d dilaton. We deduce a generalization of the effective 4d action to the case of a general, not necessarily exponential, warp factor. We note, that breakdown of supersymmetry in generic warped models may lead to the stabilization of the interbrane distance.

Talk given at SUSY'01, June 11-17 2001, Dubna, Russia.

September 2001

Brane worlds with warped geometries offer new perspectives in understanding the hierarchy of mass scales in field theory models [1, 2, 3]. The initial hope was that the mere presence of extra dimensions would be a natural tool to control mass scales in gauge theories coupled to gravity. However, the realization of these simple ideas in terms of consistent models eventually called for quite sophisticated constructions, such as the brane–bulk supersymmetry that has been constructed in [4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11].

In these models four-dimensional hypersurfaces (branes) hosting familiar gauge and charged matter fields, are embedded in a five-dimensional ambient space, the bulk, populated by gravitational and gauge-neutral fields. The bulk degrees of freedom couple to the fields living on branes through various types of interactions. Some of these interactions are analogs of an interaction between electromagnetic potential and charge density located on branes – this is the case of the fields that are Z_2 -even on an S^1/Z_2 orbifold forming the fifth dimension; some of them are rather analogs of the derivative coupling of the potential to the electric dipole moment density located on branes – that is the case for the interactions of the Z_2 -odd fields on S^1/Z_2 . These interactions lead to the formation of nontrivial vacuum configurations in the brane system. In particular, the solutions of Einstein equations of the form $ds^2 = a^2(x^5)ds_4^2 + b^2(x^5)(dx^5)^2$ are usually allowed, as in the original Randall–Sundrum (RS) models, where ds_4^2 is the Minkowski, anti-deSitter or deSitter metric in 4d, and $a(x^5)$ is a warp factor.

We find it interesting to study the consequences of nontrivial warp factors for the low energy physics. To this end one needs a class of realistic brane models with chiral matter, gauge fields and gravity and other bulk fields consistently coupled to each other. We shall use the locally supersymmetric brane models in 5d which have been constructed in [7, 8, 9]. These are the only existing examples of consistent supergravity–brane matter models, which allow to watch a delicate interplay of local supersymmetry and warped geometry. In the framework of this theory it is possible to make precise correspondence between the five-dimensional brane world picture and various aspects of the four-dimensional effective theory in the infrared regime. To study the phenomenology of the models in detail, and in particular to demonstrate how cosmological constant can be cancelled, we turn again to the Randall–Sundrum case where the calculations can be done explicitly. Later on we argue that one can generalize results summarized here for the Randall–Sundrum background to the case of an arbitrary warp factor which arises as a BPS solution of 5d supergravity with branes. We discuss the possible form of low-energy supergravity in the general case. In particular, we argue that the appearance of a T -dependent superpotential is a general phenomenon, which in many cases leads to the stabilization of the radion field (hence to stabilization of the extra dimension through the supersymmetric version of the Goldberger–Wise mechanism). We shall try to establish relations between supersymmetry (and its breakdown), stabilization of the radion, vanishing cosmological constant and the form of low energy theory in the infrared.

In [9] we found that the low energy effective theory of the zero mode fluctuations in the supersymmetric Randall–Sundrum scenario can be described by

N=1 supergravity with the Kahler potential and the superpotential given by:

$$K = -M_P^2 \log(S + \bar{S}) - M_P^2 \log \left(1 - e^{-(T+\bar{T}) - \frac{k}{3M^3} |\Phi_2|^2} - \frac{k}{3M^3} |\Phi_1|^2 \right)$$

$$W = 2\sqrt{2}(W_1 + e^{-3T}W_2). \quad (1)$$

In the above, T is the radion multiplet: $T = (R_0 + i\sqrt{2}\mathcal{A}_5)k\pi\rho$; its real part describes the fluctuations of the distance between the two branes. The dilaton multiplet $S = V_0 + i\sigma$ originates from the universal hypermultiplet present in the 5d set-up. The multiplets Φ_1 and Φ_2 originate from the chiral matter multiplets confined to the hidden and the visible branes, respectively. The superpotential W is constructed out of the brane superpotentials; the contribution from the warped (visible) brane is suppressed, at the level of the Lagrangian, by an exponent of the radion field.

The basic features of the 4d effective supergravity are as follows. If the superpotentials W_i have vanishing expectation values, the vacuum solution is just 4d Minkowski space, supersymmetry remains unbroken, and all moduli are massless. As soon as the superpotentials get non-trivial vacuum expectation values, supersymmetry is broken and a potential for the T modulus is generated. The radion gets stabilized at the value: $e^{k\pi\rho(R_0 + i3\sqrt{2}\mathcal{A}_5)} = -\frac{W_2}{W_1}$ and gets a mass of the order of the supersymmetry breaking scale. The gravitino mass is $m_{3/2} \approx \sqrt{2/V_0} \frac{|W_1|}{M_P^2}$ and supersymmetry is broken by F_S (F_T remains zero).

Fixing R_0 at a value corresponding to the scale of 1 TeV at the warped brane demands a fine tuning between the values of W_1 and W_2 . In the proposal [12] by Goldberger and Wise it was noticed, that such a tuning may be significantly softened by the ratio of the k^2 and the mass M_ϕ^2 of the GW bulk field, present in their formula for $\langle R_0 \rangle$. We note, that in our model, where we require a supersymmetric bulk Lagrangian, the mass of the field playing the role of the GW scalar is fixed by supersymmetry to be $M_\phi^2 = -3k^2$. Hence, there is no free modulation factor, and we need a tuning between the boundary values of the relevant scalar field (which are W_i) to stabilize the radion at the desired scale.

To shed some light on the relation between stabilization of T and vanishing of F^T , let us decouple the universal hypermultiplet from the model. Then the Kähler potential is $K = -3\log(1 - e^{-(T+\bar{T})})$ and the scalar potential takes the form

$$V = \frac{3}{(1 - e^{-(T+\bar{T})})^3} \left(|e^{-2T}W_2 + e^{-\bar{T}}W_1|^2 - |W_1 + e^{-3T}W_2|^2 \right). \quad (2)$$

The potential does not depend on the imaginary part of T , but the real part of T is fixed: there is a minimum of the potential at $e^{-2\text{Re}(T)} = \frac{|W_1|^2}{|W_2|^2}$. However the expectation value of F^T doesn't need to be zero

$$|F^T|^2 \approx \frac{2|W_1|^4}{|W_2|^2} (1 + \cos(\phi_2 - \phi_1 - 3\text{Im}(T))), \quad (3)$$

where ϕ_i are the phases of W_i . The above expression vanishes for discrete values of the imaginary part of T , but there is a continuum of supersymmetry breaking

vacua. This should be compared to the case with the dilaton S present. As long as the superpotential is independent of S , the effect of the dilaton dependence of the Kähler potential consists in a partial cancellation of the term $-3e^K|W|^2$ in the scalar potential: effectively the coefficient of this term becomes -2 . The result of that change is that $Im(T)$ is no longer a flat direction of the potential, and the minimum corresponds to a value of $Im(T)$ that gives $|F^T|^2 = 0$.

The main drawback of this scenario is that a large, negative cosmological constant is generated $V_{vac} \approx -m_{3/2}^2 M_p^2$ and thus the model as it stands has no direct applications for phenomenology.

The first guess would be that the cosmological constant can be cancelled (possibly with the need of a fine-tuning) by an addition of a contribution from the boundary matter sector. Indeed, if allow the superpotentials W_i to depend on the scalar Φ_i , the brane potentials in the 5d set-up obtain the contribution: $V_b = \frac{1}{2V}\delta(x^5)|\frac{\partial W_1}{\partial \Phi_1}|^2 + \frac{1}{2V}\delta(x^5 - \pi\rho)|\frac{\partial W_2}{\partial \Phi_2}|^2$ which is always positive, and in principle might cancel the negative vacuum energy. However, this expectation is not fulfilled. It turns out, that to cancel the cosmological constant one needs a combination of a brane potential (we shall simply take a Polonyi field on the Planck brane), and a potential for the second bulk scalar, the 4d dilaton S . As the concrete realization of the second piece we simply take two gaugino condensates on the visible brane. An example of the superpotential that works this way is

$$W = W_1(\Phi_1) + W_2(S)e^{-3T}. \quad (4)$$

The resulting model works similarly to the 4d racetrack models - stabilizes the dilaton and the radion. In addition, it is possible now to tune the cosmological constant to an arbitrarily small positive or negative value. The radion R_0 is stabilized around the value $e^{kR_0\pi\rho} \sim |\frac{W_2}{W_1}|$ and its physical mass is of the order of the supersymmetry breaking scale: $m_R \sim m_{3/2}$. The vacuum value of the T multiplet pseudoscalar superpartner $\mathcal{A}_5$ is set by a phase difference of the superpotentials: $W_1\bar{W}_2e^{i3\sqrt{2}\mathcal{A}_5\pi\rho} = -|W_1||W_2|$ and its mass is of the same order as that of the radion. The dilaton V_0 is also stabilized and the vacuum value of the axion σ is zero.

The RS2 model with two branes is often said to offer a solution to the perturbative hierarchy problem. The basic observation is that on the warped brane all the mass scales initially present in the Lagrangian become universally scaled down by the factor $a(\pi\rho)$: $m \rightarrow a(\pi\rho)m$, so that on that brane the local reference scale becomes $\mu = Ma(\pi\rho)$ rather than the 5d gravitational scale M . Moreover, the masses of the Kaluza-Klein towers of the bulk fields start with $m_{KK} = \mu$ and the coupling of heavy KK modes to matter localized on the warped brane is set by μ^{-1} . This means, that at energies E somewhat larger than μ the KK modes of gravitons and bulk moduli couple strongly to the brane fields, and the whole mixture needs to be treated as a single model, unfortunately - strongly coupled in the (super)gravity description. One can argue on the basis of the conjectured gravity-CFT theory that the upper scale of perturbative physics is slightly higher than μ , $\Lambda_{cut-off} = \mu(M/k)$, where $c = (M/k)^3$ has the interpretation of the central charge of the conjectured

dual CFT, so that there is a region where the 5d weakly coupled bulk-brane supergravity is a good description of physics. As to the question, whether in the presence of the cut-off one needs supersymmetry, one should notice that one still needs to control quantum corrections in the vicinity of the unwarped brane, and in particular one needs to protect the results of the stabilization of the radion, the mechanism of which *is not* confined to the warped brane. As we saw, once supersymmetry breakdown is triggered on a brane or in the bulk, the vacuum configuration of scalar fields breaks supersymmetry everywhere in the system. Thus the effects of the breakdown are not confined to any particular region in space, but just to the contrary, they get spread over all sectors of the model by the mechanism which is from the 4d point of view a mixture of gravity and anomaly mediation. Beyond this, if there is the underlying string theory behind the warped gravity, then supersymmetry inherited from the string should be visible in the gravity-picture description of the system.

Let us review then the mass scale patterns that are possible in the weakly couplead brane-bulk supergravity regime. First of all, the gravitational mass splittings in the multiplets living on the branes are set by $m_{3/2} \sim \frac{|W_1|}{M_P^2} = \frac{a(\pi\rho)|W_2|}{M_P^2}$. Now, we would call $|W_2|$ perturbative, if $|W_2| \leq \mu^3$ (μ is the upper scale of perturbativity) If we insist that $\mu = 1 \text{ TeV}$, then we obtain $m_{3/2} \leq 10^{-45} \text{ TeV}$. This cannot be completely excluded, but to obtain a realistic mass split in the multiplets one would need to invoke some additional mechanism of supersymmetry breakdown mediation, like gauge mediation, which makes the whole scheme complicated. The other possibility is to say that $m_{3/2} \approx \mu = 1 \text{ TeV}$, and to find out that $|W_2| = M_P^3 \gg \mu^3$. It is easy to see that in such a case $|W_1| = (10^{13} \text{ GeV})^3$. Hence, on this case one has a set of three scales in the perturbative sector, $M_2 = 1 \text{ TeV}$, $M_1 = 10^{13} \text{ TeV}$ and M_P , two of which are beyond the naive range of perturbativity. A different option is possible. Let us request that $m_{3/2} = 1 \text{ TeV}$ and W_2 be perturbative. Then we obtain the needed value of the warp factor $a(\pi\rho) \approx 10^{-4}$. One finds that $M_1 \approx 10^{14} \text{ GeV}$ and $M_2 \approx 10^{-1} M_1$. The scales of the brane physics are within the perturbative regime, required tuning between branes rather mild, and the scale μ is pretty close to the usual GUT scale. This might suggest, that actualy it is more natural in the supersymmetric Randall–Sundrum to think of the warped brane as of a M_{GUT} -brane, rather than a TeV brane; with supersymmetry required anyway, there is simply no obvious preference for the TeV version.

Having established the form of the effective supergravity below $\Lambda = c^{1/3}\mu$, it is easy to compute the one-loop contribution to the effective Lagrangian, hence also the one-loop contribution to the vacuum energy coming from this momentum range. The general result is that of a cut-off 4d supergravity

$$L^{(1-loop)} = e^{\frac{1}{32\pi^2} \frac{\Lambda^2}{M_P^2}} (2(N-1)(m_{3/2}^2 M_P^2 + V^{(0)}) - 4m_{3/2}^2 M_P^2 - \frac{N}{2} R - 2mg^2 \langle R_{i\bar{j}} G^i G^{\bar{j}} \rangle) + O(\log(\Lambda^2/M_P^2) m_{3/2}^4) + \text{derivative terms}, \quad (5)$$

where a maximally symmetric 4d gravitational background is assumed, and $R_{i\bar{j}}$

is the Ricci tensor of the Kähler manifold spanned by chiral multiplets. The leading contribution to the cosmological constant is

$$\mathcal{V}_{vac} = V_0 + \frac{1}{32\pi^2} \frac{\Lambda^2}{M_P^2} (-2(N-1)m_{3/2}^2 M_P^2 - 22V_0 + 2mg^2 \langle R_{i\bar{j}} G^i G^{\bar{j}} \rangle) \quad (6)$$

$$+ O(\log(\Lambda^2/M_P^2) m_{3/2}^4). \quad (7)$$

In the model without the superpotential for the 4d dilaton we have arrived at the AdS_4 solution with $V_0 = -2m_{3/2}^2 M_P^2$. This obviously cannot be compensated by the one-loop correction, since the leading positive contribution of the latter is of the order $m_{3/2}^2 \Lambda^2$. Nevertheless, if one tries the first scenario, with nongravitational mediation, then one finds $V_0 \sim (-1)o(10^{-120})M_P^4$, and the loop correction shall be $a^2(\pi\rho)$ (10^{-30}) times smaller in magnitude. These orders of magnitude of the vacuum energy fall into the domain of the quintessence physics (except that the sign we originally have is unsuitable), and we shall not comment on this issue any further. In the cases with a TeV gravitino mass the magnitude of the vacuum energy becomes disastrously large. We have shown, however, that once we switch on an effective superpotential for S , the situation changes, and it becomes possible to tune the tree-level vacuum energy to zero (we shall discuss details of how does one achieve the cancellation later on); in this case the leading contribution to vacuum energy is the one arising at one-loop order. The order of magnitude of this contribution is

$$|\mathcal{V}|_{vac} \sim \frac{1}{16\pi^2} a^2(\pi\rho) m_{3/2}^2 M_P^2, \quad (8)$$

which for the TeV gravitino is $\sim a^2(\pi\rho) 10^{-30} M_P^4$ (times the loop factor). For both cases, the one of the TeV warped brane and the one more natural one of the M_{GUT} scale warped brane, the resulting numbers are large when compared to existing bounds. The message from this discussion is such, that indeed, in the case of warped brane worlds supersymmetry protects the scale of vacuum energy, but only if one makes the gravitino mass sufficiently small. Firstly, this is a very fine tuning, secondly – on the basis of numbers we have put into the formulae we conclude, that this requires a nongravitational mechanism of supersymmetry breaking transmission to the observable supermultiplets. Thus curing one problem, one generates another one, which is as much stumbling.

We have just argued that it is possible, by creating a brane superpotential for the superfield S , to achieve the stabilization of the moduli space while keeping the tree-level cosmological constant zero. To summarize, to achieve such a situation we had to introduce yet another, beyond moduli S and T , field participating actively in supersymmetry breakdown. The superfield that we need is a boundary chiral superfield living on the unwarped brane, whose superpotential is $W_1(\Phi)$. To be specific, one can think of this field as of a Polonyi superfield on the Planck brane. We assume that $\langle \Phi \rangle^2/M_P^2$, $\langle C \rangle^2/M_P^2 \ll 1$. The low energy goldstino is given by $\eta = \frac{G_i}{\sqrt{K_{i\bar{i}}}} \chi^i = d_i \chi^i$, where χ^i denotes a chiral fermion from the i -th chiral supermultiplet. One finds that $|d_S| \approx |d_\Phi| \approx 1$ and

$|d_T| \approx d_S, \Phi a(\pi\rho) \ll 1$. This means that goldstino is the fifty–fifty mixture of dilatino and the Polonyi fermion. The profound contribution of the Polonyi sector to the supersymmetry breakdown is the price one has to pay for the vanishing of the tree–level cosmological constant. The supersymmetry breaking masses for non-Polonyi and non-moduli scalars, $m_{i\bar{j}}^2 = g_{i\bar{j}} m_{3/2}^2 - R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}} G^k \bar{G}^{\bar{l}} m_{3/2}^2$, are given by

$$m_{C_2\bar{C}_2}^2 = g_{C_2\bar{C}_2} m_{3/2}^2 - 3a^2(\pi\rho)|G^\Phi|^2, \quad m_{C_1\bar{C}_1}^2 = g_{C_1\bar{C}_1} m_{3/2}^2 - 6a^2(\pi\rho)|G^\Phi|^2. \quad (9)$$

When one goes over to the physical masses, then it turns out that both contributions to the mass squared of the scalars from the warped wall are of the order of $m_{3/2}^2$, whereas on the Planck wall there is a small contribution of the order $m_{3/2}^2 a^2(\pi\rho)$ in addition to the leading term equal $m_{3/2}^2$. The coefficients of softly breaking supersymmetry trilinear terms in the scalar potential are of the order of unity times $m_{3/2}$ when expressed in terms of the canonically normalized scalar fields, and gaugino masses are $\approx m_{3/2}$ and universal, due to $F^S \gg F^T$.

Having the stage set by the detailed discussion of the RS-type warping, we are ready to suggest a generalization of our approach to models with more generic warp factors. The first observation is that the vacuum shape of the warp factor results from the form of the brane tensions (which are in general functions of brane scalars) and brane potentials for the bulk fields. Let us assume, that as a result of solving the 5d Einstein equations with the Ansatz

$$ds^2 = a^2(kR_0|y|)ds_4^2 + R_0^2(dx^5)^2 \quad (10)$$

we obtain a specific function $a(kR_0|y|)$, corresponding to the BPS vacuum of the 5d supergravity, thus preserving four unbroken supercharges. As in the RS case, the constant R_0 becomes promoted to a four-dimensional field $R_0(x)$, the radion, which controls the physical size of the extra dimension; k is a dimension one constant characterizing the brane source terms. To obtain the effective theory, one needs to substitute the solution for the warp factor and bulk scalars into the action, and integrate over x^5 . The terms relevant from the point of view of the radion Lagrangian are the Einstein–Hilbert term and the kinetic terms of bulk scalars.

Upon reduction of 5d Einstein term one obtains some derivative terms for the radion, which are part of its kinetic Lagrangian, and the four-dimensional Einstein–Hilbert term with the radion-dependent coefficient

$$\frac{1}{2}M_P^2 f(R_0(x))R^{(4)}, \quad (11)$$

where $f = 2\beta \int_0^{k\pi R_0\rho} dy a^2(y)$ with $\beta = \frac{M^3}{kM_P^2}$. The deduced Kähler potential for the radion turns out to be

$$K = -3 \log f(T + \bar{T}), \quad (12)$$

where $f(T + \bar{T})$ is obtained by substituting $2k\pi\rho R_0 = T + \bar{T}$ everywhere in the function f . In this, lowest order, approximation to the complete theory

the whole information in the bulk physics is encoded in f through the form of the warp factor. Already here, a comment is in order about the way the second even bulk scalar V_0 , which is the real part of the 4d dilaton S , enters the Kähler potential. Firstly, the consequence of the fact, that it is borne as a member of the universal hypermultiplet, the S 's Kähler potential must contain a piece $-\log(S + \bar{S})$. Secondly, in general the solution for the warp factor contains not only R_0 , but also V_0 . An example is the warp factor in the 5d Horava–Witten model: $a(x^5) = (1 + \alpha\sqrt{2}\frac{R_0}{V_0}(|x^5| - \pi\rho/2))^{1/6}$. This will necessarily lead to a mixing between S and T in the complete Kähler function. We cannot control this mixing without committing ourselves to a specific model.

According to the above prescription the Kähler potential for the 5d Horava–Witten model is of the form

$$K = -3 \log \left((S + \bar{S} + T + \bar{T})^{4/3} - (S + \bar{S} - T - \bar{T})^{4/3} \right), \quad (13)$$

and the effective superpotential has the form $W = 2\sqrt{2}W_1(1 - T/S)^{1/2} - 2\sqrt{2}(1 + T/S)^{1/2}W_2$ (this is written with warp factor normalized to unity in the middle-point between branes). The well known form of these functions is recovered by replacing $T \rightarrow \alpha T$, expanding in α and retaining lowest order terms. One can find by inspection that such a model leads to the run-away behaviour for T . However, a typical situation for an arbitrary form of a warp factor is that there exists a minimum along the direction of T .

The interesting point is the presence of both S and T in the Lagrangian.

The above reasoning illustrates, from the four-dimensional point of view, the important role of the warp factor: in the generic case its presence introduces the effective superpotential for the radion and leads to the stabilization of the interbrane distance *after* the breaking of supersymmetry (i.e. after switching-on $\langle W_1 \rangle$ and $\langle W_2 \rangle$). This is a consistent realization of the Goldberger–Wise stabilization mechanism, which in addition relates stabilization to the breakdown of the low-energy supersymmetry.

To summarize, we have identified a broad class of four-dimensional supergravities which are low-energy effective theories for warped locally supersymmetric models in five dimensions. The nontrivial warp factor becomes encoded in the form of the Kähler potential and enters the four dimensional superpotential. This in a generic case leads to stabilization of the radion modulus. To stabilize remaining moduli fields, and to make the cosmological constant vanish, one needs to complicate and fine-tune the model, but - as shown above on the example of the Randall-Sundrum-class model - it is possible to achieve these goals. Further observation is that often the vacuum configuration of the warp factor depends not only on the radion, but also on the dilaton (and other moduli). As a result, the effective warped superpotential depends on both S and T moduli. On the other hand, the mere dependence of the superpotential on S and T does not guarantee stabilization - the run-away behaviour is still an option. We have given in some detail the analysis of the supersymmetry breaking in models with exponential warp factor. It is interesting to note, that

supersymmetry breakdown is controlled there by the F -term of the dilaton (and that of a Polonyi field), while the F -term of the T modulus is practically zero at the vacuum.

Acknowledgments

This talk is based on results obtained in collaboration with Adam Falkowski and Stefan Pokorski.

We acknowledge the support by the RTN program HPRN-CT-2000-00152 and by the Polish Committee for Scientific Research grant 5 P03B 119 20 (2001-2002).

References

- [1] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83** (1999) 3370.
- [2] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83** (1999) 4690.
- [3] J. Lykken and L. Randall, *JHEP* **0006** (2000) 014.
- [4] R. Altendorfer, J. Bagger and D. Nemeschansky, *Supersymmetric Randall–Sundrum scenario*, hep-th/0003117.
- [5] T. Gherghetta and A. Pomarol, *Bulk fields and supersymmetry in a slice of AdS*, hep-th/0003129.
- [6] N. Alonso-Alberca, P. Meesen and T. Ortin, *Supersymmetric Brane-Worlds*, hep-th/0003248.
- [7] A. Falkowski, Z. Lalak and S. Pokorski, *Phys. Lett.* **B491** (2000) 172.
- [8] A. Falkowski, Z. Lalak and S. Pokorski, *Five dimensional supergravities with universal hypermultiplet and warped brane worlds*, *Phys. Lett.* **B509** (2001) 337.
- [9] A. Falkowski, Z. Lalak and S. Pokorski, *Four-dimensional supergravities from five-dimensional brane worlds*, hep-th/0102145, *Nucl. Phys.* **B** in press.
- [10] E. Bergshoeff, R. Kallosh and A. Van Proeyen, *Supersymmetry in singular spaces*, hep-th/0007044.
- [11] M. Zucker, *Supersymmetric brane world scenarios from off-shell supergravity*, hep-th/0009083.
- [12] W. D. Goldberger and M. B. Wise, *Modulus stabilization with bulk fields*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83** (1999) 4922.